

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 08/933,822	Applicant(s) BOWERS, CHARLES EDWARD	
	Examiner Sam Chuan C. Yao	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(2) Ms Virginia Szigeti.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested for Counsel to cite a passage which provides support to newly added claim 24. Counsel cited example 3. Examiner proposed to: a) add the limitation of claim 24 to claim 16; b) correct the potential 112 2nd paragraph issue regarding a selected 1st base fiber (i.e. "polyamides, nylon-6, nylon-6,6"); and, c) cancel all non-elected claims in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Counsel agreed with Examiner proposal, but made a counter proposal of omitting the recited melting range of a binder from claim 16. Examiner agreed.